

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/023,178		12/17/2001	Gerald Reinders	Mo-6531/HR-245	3294
30448	7590	10/03/2006		EXAMINER	
AKERMA	N SENTE	ERFITT	WONG, LESLIE A		
P.O. BOX 3 WEST PAL		H, FL 33402-3188	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,,,,,,,			1761	
				DATE MAILED: 10/03/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Commence	10/023,178	REINDERS ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Leslie Wong	1761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ju	ulv 2006					
·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
	Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· —	·						
	Claim(s) 1-6 and 8 is/are rejected.						
,	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	t ti					
ا_ا(ە	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) 🔲	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Page 1990. 6) Other:	atent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/023,178

Art Unit: 1761

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hornstein in view of Ashurst for the reasons set forth in rejecting the claims in the last Office action. The amendments to the claims are not seen to influence the conclusion of unpatentability previously set forth.

Hornstein discloses a process for identification of flavor by subjecting isolates and fractions of a flavor to headspace analysis, combining isolates or fractions from separate batch operations for further fractionation and analysis, and using sensory evaluation to evaluate the results (see entire document, especially pages 72 and 83). Hornstein also discloses analysis of a flavor extract under two or three sets of conditions (page 83). Hornstein discloses multiple analyses using gas chromatography to obtain a final analysis.

The claims differ as to the specific use of the data to prepare a flavor.

Ashurst discloses flavor manufacture based on analysis of flavor materials (see pages 122-125).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use prepare a flavor as taught by Ashurst from the information provided by Hornstein because the use of flavor analysis to produce flavors is conventional in the art. Applicant uses known steps to obtain expected results.

Application/Control Number: 10/023,178

Art Unit: 1761

Applicant's arguments filed July 21, 2006have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the prior art does not teach the importance of optimizing the match of headspace analysis by adjusting the composition of flavor components in two different matrices.

Hornstein teaches a process for identification of flavor by subjecting isolates and fractions of a flavor to headspace analysis, combining isolates or fractions from separate batch operations for further fractionation and analysis, and using sensory evaluation to evaluate the results (see entire document, especially pages 72 and 83). Hornstein also teaches analysis of a flavor extract under two or three sets of conditions (page 83). Hornstein teaches multiple analyses using gas chromatography to obtain a final analysis. Ashurst discloses flavor manufacture based on analysis of flavor materials.

Applicant uses known steps to obtain expected results.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/023,178

Art Unit: 1761

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-1411. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Leslie Wong

Primary Examiner

estie Wong

Art Unit 1761

LAW September 29, 2006